

KRASKIN, LESSE & COSSON, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
TELECOMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS

2120 L Street, N.W., Suite 520
296-8890
Washington, D.C. 20037
8893

Telephone (202)
Telecopier (202) 296-

September 9, 2002

VIA E-MAIL

Thomas J. Sugrue, Chief
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

RE: Choice Wireless, LC
WT Docket No. 00-110, FCC 01-151
Universal 911 Dialing – First and Second Transition Report

Dear Mr. Sugrue:

Transmitted herewith on behalf of Choice Wireless, LC ("Choice") is its Universal 911 Dialing – First Transition Report pursuant to the Commission's Fifth Report and Order.¹ Because of an administrative oversight, Choice is filing the First Transition Report after the March 11, 2002 deadline. In the report, Choice certifies that as of May 2, 2001, it had completed the steps necessary to properly route 911 calls in the localities covered by the report. Accordingly, this report satisfies the requirement to file a Second Transition Report.²

Please contact the undersigned with any questions.

Sincerely,

¹ *In the Matter of Implementation of 911 Act, The Use of N11 Codes and Other Abbreviated Dialing Arrangements: Fifth Report and Order CC Docket No. 92-105, First Report and Order WT Docket No. 00-110, Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration CC Docket No. 92-105 and WT Docket No. 00-110, 16 FCC Rcd 22264 (2001) ("Fifth Report and Order").*

² *See Carrier Transition Reports for Implementation of the 911 Abbreviated Dialing Code Pursuant to the Wireless Communications and Public Safety Act of 1999 (911Act): Public Notice, CC Docket No. 92-105, WT Docket No. 00-110, DA 02-507 at 2 (rel. Mar. 1, 2002) (allowing carriers to submit a certification with the first transition report in lieu of filing a second transition report).*

| | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| <p style="text-align: right;">Note: This is a sample template, it is not an OMB approved form.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Universal 911 Dialing- First Transition Report</p> <p>Please read instructions before completing</p> | | |
| Section 1 | | |
| Carrier Identification Information | | |
| Parent Company Name N/A | | |
| Service Provider Name Choice Wireless, LC | | |
| Company Address, City, State, Zip Choice Wireless, LC 205 N. Walnut St. P.O. Drawer 587 Muenster, Texas 76252 | | |
| Service Provider Type | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wireless | <input type="checkbox"/> Wireline |
| Name(s) of Wireless License Holder(s) Choice Wireless, LC | | |
| Contact Name Alan Rohmer | | |
| Contact Tel # 940-759-2251 | | |
| Fax # 940-759-5557 | | |
| E-mail Address Arohmer@ndn.net | | |
| Section 2 | | |
| Local Area 911 Implementation | | |
| List all individual local areas covered by this report (e.g., Lee County, Virginia): Murray County, Oklahoma Cotton County, Oklahoma | | |

(a) For each area listed above, identify the emergency response point to which 911 calls will be routed.
All 911 calls made in the two counties identified above are delivered to either the county sheriff's department, municipal police department or state highway department depending upon which is most appropriate.

(b) For each area listed above, provide details of the carrier's progress in completing translation and other work necessary to route 911 calls to the identified emergency response point.
When Choice Wireless turns up new cell sites in its service area (which includes the two counties identified above), the company coordinates with the appropriate emergency authority regarding the delivery of 911 calls and completes translations, routing and testing necessary to deliver the calls to the appropriate agency.

(c) For each area listed above, provide the date or projected date that transition to the 911 abbreviated dialing code will be completed.

Geronimo site, Cotton county: completion 3/25/00
Randlett site, Cotton county: completion 6/30/00
Pletcher Hill site, Murray county: completion 6/1/00
Davis site, Murray county: completion 9/21/00
Sandy Creek site, Murray county: completion 9/21/00
Sulphur site, Murray county: completion 5/2/01

Section 3
911 Implementation Problems

(a) Describe any problems the reporting carrier has encountered in identifying 911 number call routing points. Describe any other operational problems carrier has experienced during the initial transition stages.
none

(b) Where the reporting carrier has experienced 911 implementation problems, describe any efforts the carrier has made to coordinate with public safety agencies and state and local authorities.
N/A

Section 4

Certification - To be signed by an authorized representative of the reporting entity

I certify that I am an authorized representative of the above-named reporting entity, that I have examined the foregoing report and to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, all statements of fact contained in this form are true and accurate statements of the affairs of the above-named company.

X I certify that I am an authorized representative of the above-named reporting entity, that I have examined the foregoing report and to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, all statements of fact contained in this form are true and that the reporting entity has completed the steps necessary to properly route 911 emergency calls in the localities covered by the report as of 5/2/01.

Signature Alan Rohmer

Title Chief Financial Officer

Date 9/9/2002

This filing is: ☒ original filing ☐ revised filing

PERSONS MAKING WILLFULL FALSE STATEMENTS IN THIS DOCUMENT CAN BE PUNISHED BY FINE OR IMPRISONMENT UNDER TITLE 18 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE, 18 U.S.C. § 1001.